

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/727,573	12/05/2003	Teiji Yamamoto	KOM-159/INO	KOM-159/INO 7354	
23353	7590 05/04/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC			STORMER, RUSSELL D		
LION BUILD 1233 20TH ST	ING FREET N.W., SUITE 50	1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			3617		

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/727,573	YAMAMOTO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Russell D. Stormer	3617

	Russell D. Stormer	3617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b)</li> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (a)</li> </ol>	ving replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply missing of the final rejection.  dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing time.	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one in the final rejection, who date of the final rejecti	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);	-
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .		ll be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
———·	it does not place the application.	.,	
12. $\square$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	
13. Other:	. ^		
	355	STURE 3//	
	PKIMARY	EXAMINER /Se	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to claims 1, 5, 8, and 9 would require further search and a reconsideration of the rejection made in the last office action. The newly added limitations raise issues which do not appear to have been presented before..